

Engineering Mathematics 2: Numerical Analysis

Exercise Sheet 3

C. Colijn

For real world applications, one would not dream of solving numerical ODE problems by hand / with a calculator. Unfortunately, in the exam, this is exactly what you might have to do, although you would never be asked to apply more than three or four steps of a method.

You might find some of the numerical examples below tedious as they require e.g. 5 steps or more. Why not write a short piece of computer code to attack these examples?

Euler Method

1. Use the Euler method with $h = 0.1$ to construct an approximate solution of the differential equation problem

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \sqrt{\frac{xt}{x^2 + t^2}}, \quad x(0) = 1$$

so as to find an approximation to $x(0.3)$. Repeat the calculation with $h = 0.05$. Which answer would you expect to be more accurate?

2. Find an approximation to $x(0.3)$ where $x(t)$ is the solution of the differential equation problem

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{x}{2\sqrt{t+x}}, \quad x(0) = 1,$$

using the Euler method with step size $h = 0.1$.

Trapezoidal method and implicit Euler

3. Find an approximation to $x(0.3)$, where $x(t)$ solves the differential equation problem

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = x, \quad x(0) = 1,$$

using (i) the Euler method, and (ii) the Trapezoidal method, and (iii) the implicit (i.e., backward) Euler method, in each case using step size $h = 0.1$. Compare with the exact answer $e^{0.3}$. Which method gives the most accurate answer and why?

4. * The Trapezoidal method with step size h is applied to the solution of the *nonlinear* differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = x^2.$$

- (a) Derive an algebraic equation in the form

$$F(x_{n+1}, h) = G(x_n, h),$$

relating the approximation x_{n+1} at step $(n + 1)$ to that at step n .

- (b) Use the Trapezoidal method with $h = 0.2$ to find an approximation to $x(1)$ when initial data $x(0) = 1$ is provided. (*Hint*: solve the algebraic equation of part (a) exactly, using the well-known formula. At each step choose the most natural root.)
- (c) Perform one step of the Trapezoidal method with $h = 0.2$, to approximate $x(0.2)$, where $x(t)$ solves the differential equation problem posed in parts (a) and (b). In this case, use 3 Newton-Raphson iterations to solve the algebraic equation derived in part (a). (*Hint*: you may use x_0 as your initial guess of the value of x_1 .)
- (d) Repeat the whole question for the implicit (i.e., backward) Euler method rather than the Trapezoidal method.

Predictor-Corrector method

5. Approximate $x(1.2)$ using the second order predictor-corrector method (Euler + Trapezoidal) for the differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \sqrt{xt + t}, \quad x(1) = 2,$$

using (a) $h = 0.1$, (b) $h = 0.05$, (c) $h = 0.025$. Let X_1 , X_2 and X_3 be the approximations to the $x(1.2)$ using $h = 0.1$, 0.05 and 0.025 respectively. Compute the ratio of $|X_2 - X_1|$ to $|X_3 - X_2|$. Show theoretically that this ratio should tend to 4:1 as h tends to zero.

Stability

6. Apply five steps of the Euler method to the approximate solution of

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = 4x - x^3, \quad x(0) = 1,$$

with step size (i) $h = 0.1$, (ii) $h = 0.2$, (iii) $h = 0.5$, and (iv) $h = 1.0$. Comment on your results.

7. Show by explicit solution that the differential equation problem

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = x^2, \quad x(0) = 1,$$

has a solution which “blows up” to infinity at $t = 1$. Can the Euler method approximation mimic this behaviour?

8. A numerical ODE solver is called A_0 stable if when applied to

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \lambda x, \quad \text{where } \lambda < 0,$$

it gives a numerical solution decaying to zero, for any step size h . Identify which of the following methods are A_0 stable, and for those which are not, derive conditions on h and λ for the numerical solution to decay: (a) Euler method, (b) Midpoint method, (c) Trapezoidal method, (d) second order Predictor-Corrector method, (e) fourth order Runge Kutta method.

9. The differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = -12x + \frac{3}{2}t^2 - 12t + 6t^3 - 1, \quad x(0) = 0,$$

is an example of a so-called stiff equation. Estimate $x(1)$ using a step size $h = 0.1$ with (a) the midpoint method, (b) the second order predictor-corrector method. Compare each solution with the analytical solution $y = (t^3/2) - t$. Despite both being second-order methods, which gives the best results? Why?

Fourth order Runge-Kutta method

10. Estimate $x(t)$ for $t = 0.2, 0.4$ and 0.6 , where x satisfies the differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{1}{x+t}, \quad x(0) = 2,$$

by using the fourth-order Runge-Kutta method with step $h = 0.2$.

Systems of equations *

11. Use the Euler method with step size $h = 0.1$ to estimate $x(0.5)$ and $y(0.5)$, where $x(t), y(t)$ solve the pair of simultaneous first-order equations

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = xy + t, \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = x - t,$$

with initial data $x(0) = 0$ and $y(0) = 1$.

12. Consider the approximate numerical solution of the differential equation system

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = -x + y, \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = +x - 100y.$$

(Note that this system may be re-written as a scalar second order equation for either x or y alone.) Write down difference equations corresponding to the discretization of this linear system when

- (a) the Euler method,
- (b) the Trapezoidal method

is applied. By analysing the eigenvalues of the original system, and solving each set of difference equations explicitly, derive stability criteria for each method. Which is superior?